



Gender, IFIs and Food Insecurity

Rising Food Prices, Rising Poverty

In March 2011, The United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) Food Price Index, which tracks the price of 55 food commodities for export, rose for the ninth consecutive month. The index has now reached its highest level, in both nominal and real terms, since the inception of the index in 1990 (FAO, 2011).



Source: FAO, 2011.

While higher food prices have benefited food producers, they have contributed to a stark increase in poverty in developing countries. A recent World Bank (WB) report revealed that an additional 44 million people have been forced into poverty due to the drastic rise in food prices since June 2010 (WB, 2011). Having already surpassed the levels witnessed during the 2008 food crisis, the recent upsurge in food prices suggests that yet another food crisis is upon us.



The Feminization of Food Insecurity and IFIs

Women, who account for more than two thirds of the world's poor and the majority of the world's small-scale farmers, bear the brunt of rising food prices and growing food insecurity in developing countries. When men migrate in order to find employment, women are left to work family farmland. They are also responsible for gathering essential household resources,

such as firewood and water, preparing meals and tending livestock (Gender Action, 2011). Despite women's critical role in food production, International Financial Institutions (IFIs) have failed to translate gender-mainstreaming rhetoric into action. In order to ensure that women and men equally participate in and benefit from IFI investments in developing countries, Gender Action works to raise awareness of some of the IFI-related causes and gender-specific impacts of food insecurity.

Inside this issue

IFI Policies Undermine Local Markets.....	2
Rising Food Prices Impact Women and Girls.....	2
IFI Investments Intensify Gender Inequality.....	3
Recommendations.....	4

Did you know?

- Food represents the majority of household expenditure in poor countries.
- Developing countries are net importers of food.
- Recent price increases are highest for food staples like wheat and corn.



IFI Policies Undermine Local Markets

Although several factors contribute to rising food prices, decades of economic reforms imposed by IFIs, which typically promote a market-based approach to agriculture, have created developing countries' dependence on imported food and increased their vulnerability to fluctuations in global food prices. IFI loan conditionalities requiring privatization and trade liberalization, such as the reduction or removal of government intervention, lead to a flood of cheap food imports

from developed nations. By removing safeguards needed to protect local production, IFI-imposed deregulation crushes local markets and destroys the livelihoods of poor farmers, the majority of whom are women, and dismantles long-term productive capacity in developing countries.

"Over 800 million people in the developing world are chronically hungry, including an estimated 185 million children under age 5." —Population Reference Bureau, 2004

Import Dependence Exacerbates Poverty

In most developing countries, poor households spend the majority of their income on food (Plan UK, 2010). Without the buffer of local production, global price volatility increases local prices and contributes to malnutrition among the most vulnerable.

Price increases are highest for staple foods. Grains, such as corn and wheat, account for more than half of poor Africans' daily caloric intake (USDA, 2008). Cereals have also demonstrated the highest food price increases, and are projected to rise even further in cost (FAO, 2010).

Rising Food Prices Impact Women and Girls

IFI pressure to abolish tariffs on imports reduces government revenue, which could be pay for healthcare and education. In addition to their role in alleviating hunger and malnutrition in their households and communities, women are also responsible for caring for children and the elderly. When developing countries are forced to reduce or abolish taxes on food imports and debt burdens force low-income countries to make budget cuts to repay IFIs, governments have fewer resources to spend on vital health, education and other social services. Spending cuts in these sectors inevitably have the greatest impact on the health and development of women and girls (Gender Action, 2006).

Escalating food prices mean less money for education and healthcare. Rising food prices put additional pressure on already strained household budgets. When women enter the formal work force to help support household consumption, girls are usually forced to leave school to attend to household chores and care for younger siblings (Plan UK, 2010). The negative impact on girls' education severely limits opportunities for social and economic development and undermines their ability to break out of poverty.



Food security is dependent on women's equal access to land and natural resources.

"Men more than women are likely to abandon agricultural work at home and migrate first to seek income in other sectors. Women are being left to carry the full burdens of agricultural production, but often with no legal protection or rights to property ownership"

—World Bank, FAO, IFAD, 2009

"Land rights increase women's power in social, economic, and political relationships. Rural women claim that secure land rights increase their social and political status, and improve their sense of self-esteem, confidence, security, and dignity. By diminishing the threat of eviction or economic destitution, direct and secure rights to land can increase women's bargaining power in their families and participation in public dialogue and local political institutions."

—ActionAid, 2008

"When women, and particularly rural women, secure property rights and access to finance, they have a better chance of ensuring their own food security."

—Population Reference Bureau, 2004

IFI Investments Intensify Gender Inequality

IFI agriculture investments support big business, not women farmers. IFI investments tend to focus on agro-processing and commercial agriculture, which mainly engage male labor and focus on external markets. These investments overlook women, who are often restricted to subsistence farming, and instead benefit the transnational corporations that win IFI procurement contracts. Without access to innovations in agricultural technology and limited access to lucrative markets, women are left with few means to improve their income and their food security (FAO, 2011).

IFIs do not hold themselves accountable for promoting women's landownership. For example, the WB's "Gender in Agriculture Sourcebook" recognizes gender inequity in agriculture and offers "technical advice that will help development practitioners better integrate gender-responsive actions into agriculture and rural projects" (WB, 2009). This sourcebook, however, only provides suggestions to improve WB land policy and administration investments; it fails to mandate that promote women's equal access to land and natural resources.



Photo by Find Your Feet

Gender, IFIs and Food Insecurity

Gender Action's analysis demonstrates that IFI-led macroeconomic, financial and trade policies in developing countries intensify gender inequalities by disproportionately impoverishing women and girls. IFIs policies must not only alleviate the impact of escalating food prices on the poor, but must also address the disproportionately negative impact of food insecurity on women and girls.

In order to improve food security, IFIs must:

- Eliminate harmful conditionalities and procurement practices that undermine local markets
- Abolish requirements that developing countries privatize agriculture
- Uphold their commitments to promote women's empowerment and gender equality in all agriculture, rural development and nutrition investments
- Address long-term threats to agricultural productivity, including climate change and resource degradation

GENDER ACTION

1875 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 500

Washington DC 20009

Tel. 202-939-5443

Email: info@genderaction.org

Web: www.genderaction.org

Gender Action's mission is to promote women's rights and gender equality and ensure women and men equally participate in and benefit from International Financial Institution (IFI) investments in developing countries. Visit our website to see our first [gender, IFI and food insecurity country case study on Ethiopia](#).



References

ActionAid, 2008. 'Securing women's right to land and livelihoods: a key to ending hunger and fighting AIDS.' http://www.oxfam.org.uk/resources/learning/landrights/downloads/womens_right_to_land_lhs.pdf

FAO, 2010. 'Global Market Analysis, Food Outlook.' <http://www.fao.org/docrep/012/ak349e/ak349e00.pdf>

FAO, 2011. 'Country Profiles: Low-Income Food-Deficit Countries (LIFDC) - List for 2011.'

Gender Action, 2006. 'Gender Guide to World Bank and IMF Policy-Based Lending.' <http://www.genderaction.org/images/GA%20Gender%20Guide%20to%20World%20Bank%20and%20IMF%20FINAL.pdf>

Human Rights Watch. 2003. Double Standards: Women's Property Rights Violations in Kenya. <http://www.hrw.org/en/reports/2003/03/03/double-standards>

Plan UK, 2010. 'Girls in the Global Economy: Adding It All Up.' <http://www.planusa.org/becauseiamagirl/docs/becauseiamagirl2009.pdf>

Population Reference Bureau, 2004. 'Darfur Highlights the Impact of Food Insecurity on Women.' http://www.prb.org/Articles/2004_DarfurHighlightstheImpactofFoodInsecurityonWomen.aspx

UN, 2010. 'Millennium Development Goals Report' <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/MDG%20Report%202010%20En%20r15%20-low%20res%2020100615%20-.pdf>

USDA. 2008. 'Rising Food Prices Intensify Food Insecurity in Developing Countries.' <http://www.ers.usda.gov/AmberWaves/February08/Features/RisingFood.htm>

World Bank, 2011. 'Food Price Watch.' http://www.worldbank.org/foodcrisis/food_price_watch_report_feb2011.html